PART 1 (White paper) - MAX 5/6 PAGES

Statements copy pasted from notes of our meetings and calls:

**Success** = Horizon 2020 takes up mountains as a specific element of future calls (2018-20120). Mtns mentioned as being specifically relevant to the objectives of the call.

**Content:** Rationale has to be: If we exclude mtns we neglect an important aspect of European reality. Show the importance of mtns for Europe.
First part = “official” statement, explanation in the second part.
Generally: mention importance of transboundary strategies and of transformation knowledge.
Conclusions concentrating on Challenges & Opportunities (C&O).

**Format:**
- Short, succinct paper that becomes a reference for funders and/or policy makers.
- Arguments in an easy to understand and usable format (in both parts). Graphics and numbers.
- Not a general summary of issues.
- Very short, max. 6 pages incl. maps and infographics.

**Procedure for part I:**
Erin will do her ghostwriting exercise before the writing retreat, deadline 20 August. Her task is to take the text by TD and MP as “raw material” and write a strong and succinct text with the main messages. In Appenberg we will have both the long and the short versions to work with.
PART 2 (Strategic Research Agenda) - MAX 15 PAGES

Statements copy pasted from notes of our meetings and calls:

Content: Should cover the 9 sections as defined in the questionnaire. What can mtn research contribute to each of these fields?

- Health, Demographic Change and Wellbeing
- Food Security, Sustainable Agriculture and Forestry, Marine, Maritime and Inland Water Research and the Bioeconomy
- Secure, Clean and Efficient Energy
- Smart, Green and Integrated Transport
- Climate Action, Environment, Resource Efficiency and Raw Materials
- Europe in a changing world –inclusive, innovative and reflective Societies
- Research infrastructures
- Science with and for Society
- Information and Communication Technologies

Turn the H2020 SCs into opportunities. Look at the challenges with the perspective of transformation: What transformation is needed in order to master the challenge? Research actions linking the different points.
Also list general principles of research as in the 2014 draft by Astrid.

Format:
- Should be very concrete. “Copy-pastable”.
- Max. 14 pages.

Version 2 as proposed by BC, starting from EU Challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H2020 Challenge 1 (copied from the official call/website):</th>
<th>Where in the call (?)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Text why mountain research is important to address this challenge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H2020 Challenge 2 (copied from the official call/website):</th>
<th>Where in the call (?)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Text why mountain research is important to address this challenge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sources:
A. Responses to questionnaire = importance of this section; within each section/thematic field: subtopics and their importance, concrete research topics/questions.
B. Other SRAs: Conclusions or insights on the relevance of specific topics; lines of argumentation that can be adapted for our purposes.
C. Knowledge and insight of authors/core group members.

Questionnaire
Evaluation
Link to results of screening of other SRAs

Procedure for part II:
There are 10 chapters to write for part II: one introduction re the respective relevance of the 9 fields (see evaluation of questionnaire, questions 1-3), and then one for each of the 9 section as listed above.

Proposal cd:
- Divide the 10 chapters between the 5 people participating in Appenberg: Thomas Scheurer, Rolf Weingartner, Bernat Claramunt, Gaël le Roux, Igor Jelen.
- Define a rationale that each chapter should follow.
- Write first drafts BEFORE the Appenberg retreat.
- In Appenberg, divide the time between discussing drafts, revising chapters individually, discussing part I and the introductionary chapter to part II (to be defined in detail).

Not to forget after the writing retreat:
- Work with a proper communication strategy.
- Involve Euromontana in the finalization of the document, and in planning the lobbying in Brussels.
- Involve other individuals (people on MRI lists “B” and “C”, Joao Azevedo1 and others) or entities.
- Start contacting NPD’s to inform them about the project and product, and to start discussing potential Brussels events and venues.

---

1 Professor at the Department of Environment and Natural Resources at the School of Agriculture of Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal, and Vice-coordinator and researcher at CIMO Mountain Research Centre